There is a time in every man’s education when he arrives at the conviction that envy is ignorance; that imitation is suicide; that he must take himself for better, for worse, as his portion; that though the wide universe is full of good, no kernel of nourishing corn can
come to him but through his toil bestowed on that plot of ground which is given to him to
till. The power which resides in him is new in nature, and none but he knows what that is
which he can do, nor does he know until he has tried.

— Ralph Waldo Emerson

Carl Rogers, the humanist psychologist, was fond of pointing out one fundamental paradox
of therapy, and of all human growth: it is only when we accept ourselves as we are, that we
can change at all. This is a matter of some contention as we reach these high holy days, as
some voices push change, others acceptance, and so many of us dance that humble dance
between the two, flirting with the shames of trying too hard and not trying hard enough. If
we just do the right things, we tell ourselves, each other, our students, our teachers, then we
will finally be better, more. And the echoing response is left in our ears ‘if if if if if if if if.....’

How can we situate ourselves between acceptance and change, between being who we are, on
the ground, and yet somehow reaching evermore towards heaven?

To prepare for this Yom Kippur, we are thinking about one figure who danced this dance:
Hillel Zeitlin (1871 – 1942). A philosopher, mystic, writer, and thinker, Zeitlin lived a life of
radical change, during a time of many changes in this world. He grew up religious, left
religious life for a time, and then returned with a radical devotion, but marked deeply by the
open minded inquiry of his years outside of formal religious life.

Zeitlin resists easy interpretation or labeling – a radical proponent of spiritual community,
he was also a powerful paradigm of the lonely mystic, writing and speaking tirelessly to and
for his own soul. Little-known for a long time, Zeitlin has received increased attention in bits
and bursts. Art Green’s foundational collection, Hasidic Spirituality for a New Era: The
Religious Writings of Hillel Zeitlin was an important step, as was the publication of Zeitlin’s
writings (most still in hebrew) on chassidic and kabbalistic thinkers and works, “In the
Pardes of Chassidut.” Recent collections on spiritual figures of the twentieth century have
placed him at the nexus of philosophy and mysticism that was crucial for the eventual
emergence of a decentralized spiritual resurgence. Above all, Zeitlin reflects an intense and
honest engagement with his own path, his own soul, and he is unflinchingly devoted to an
ever deepening life, no matter what direction he went in.

To approach this narrow bridge between who we are and who we hope to be, we put together
some of our favorite reads on this intriguing figure. We start with a short introduction, “Who
is Hillel Zeitlin?” from Sam Glauber-Zimra, an important voice on Hillel Zeitlin-related
topics. Next, you get “The Great Call of the Hour”: Hillel Zeitlin’s Yiddish Writings on
Yavneh,” co-authored by Art Green and Ariel Evan Mayse, and we finish up with Norman
Frimer’s moving piece, “A Vignette of Rabbi Hillel Zeitlin and the Holocaust (30 years after
the storm).”
How can we find ourselves, between acceptance and change, between being who we are and trying to grow, diverge, become? I’m not quite sure, but I look to Zeitlin’s struggles for guidance. I’m not sure if he had the answer, but perhaps we can listen to the way he framed his own questions, and perhaps we might be able to ask better questions, to frame and understand our own humble and desperate attempts to move forward in this path a little bit better. And always, as we find ourselves amidst opportunities and challenges, between heaven and earth, let’s do it together. Together, let’s do it together. May we all be written in the book of life, love, and joy – together.
Who was Hillel Zeitlin?

Hillel Zeitlin, at once a journalist, poet, social critic, Torah scholar, mystic and philosopher, was one of the preeminent literary personalities of Eastern European Jewry in the first part of the 20th century. Deeply mystical, yet an intellectually driven skeptic, Zeitlin wrestled with matters of faith and doubt for many years of his life. At home both in the contemporary intellectual milieu of the Hebrew Renaissance and the traditional world of the Kabbalah and Ḥasidut, Zeitlin’s life was one of a relentless search for God in the modern world as he sought an answer to the longings of his soul.

Born in 1871 in Korma in White Russia, Zeitlin grew up in an observant family descended from Chabad Ḥasidim. As a youth he earned a reputation as a prodigy, mastering the corpus of traditional Judaic texts, with a focus on Ḥasidic and philosophical works. It was at this time that Zeitlin enjoyed a unique period of religious ecstasy whose memory would remain with him throughout his life. In a short biographical essay written in 1928, Zeitlin recalled:
[...] I found myself consumed by divine fire. For more than half a year, when I was about thirteen, I was totally given over to Infinity. No one knew what was happening to me, since I was by nature a shy loner. Yet even today I recall with secret joy that time when I was almost able to see the “power of the Maker within the made” and to penetrate beyond the “physical, corporeal nature of things,” constantly seeing “the divine power flowing through them in each moment, without which they are naught.” [1] I found myself in a state of ecstasy that I had not known previously and have never yet attained again. Usually people are in such states for minutes or hours in the course of a day. But I remained in that ecstatic state all day and night. My thought was attached to God with hardly a moment’s interruption. (Green and Rosenberg, 2)

However, as Zeitlin continued, “To my great sorrow, this state did not last for long. As winter passed, the material world and its demands came upon me. Youthful lusts and various inner stirrings, including that of haskalah [enlightenment], overwhelmed me.” (ibid, 2) Exposed to the emergent haskalah movement, Zeitlin began studying contemporary works of Hebrew literature, leading him to regard his religious upbringing with suspicion. Economic hardships drove him to leave home as a teenager, and he spent seven years wandering, supporting himself by working as an itinerant tutor. Describing this time, he wrote “…I also learned languages and various sciences...I studied intensely the writings of Abraham Ibn Ezra, Maimonides, Rabbi Joseph Albo, and also such moderns as Mendelsohn, Salomon Maimon, Krochmal, and others. I devoted even closer attention to the works of Spinoza, Kant, Fichte, Hegel, Schelling, and above all to the positivists: August Comte, Spencer, Darwin, Bokal, Draper, and several others. On top of all these were the works of those destructive critics Pisarev, Chernishevsky, Dobrulyubov, Mikhailovsky, and others.” (ibid, 3)

As Zeitlin autodidactically mastered the canon of Western thought, his faith continued to suffer and he abandoned the traditional religious lifestyle of his upbringing. Settling in Homel, Zeitlin joined a circle of aspiring writers which included Yosef Haim Brenner and Uri Gnessin. At this time he began to intensively study the works of Schopenhauer, Hartmann, and Nietzsche. Nietzsche in particular had a strong impact upon Zeitlin, influencing much of his later writings.

Finding employment as a journalist, Zeitlin settled in Warsaw in 1907, following a brief stop in Vilna. Slowly returning to the traditional observant life of his youth, Zeitlin wrote, “From the day I settled in Warsaw, I began to order and renew my Torah studies. I use all my spare time to study the two Talmuds, Midrashic works, books of ethics and philosophy, but most particularly books of Kabbalah and Hasidism. The more I study, the more I see how few there are among us who truly comprehend these sources.” (ibid, 5).

Zeitlin won renown over the next 35 years as one of the premier columnists in the Hebrew and Yiddish press, weighing in on all the major questions of his day. Having initially been involved in the Zionist movement, attending the Fifth Zionist Congress in 1901, Zeitlin
became disillusioned with the movement, instead identifying as a Territorialist, the movement which advocated for locating an immediate safe haven for the Jews anywhere in the world.

In the 1920s and 1930s, Zeitlin’s writings adopted an increasingly messianic and apocalyptic tone, as he warned of the impending doom of the Jewish people. Unfortunately, his calls for repentance went unheeded, and Zeitlin fell victim to the destruction that he himself had predicted. Imprisoned in the Warsaw Ghetto, on Erev Rosh Hashana 1942 Zeitlin was brought out to the Umschlagplatz for transportation to Treblinka. Eyewitness accounts relate that Zeitlin arrived for his final departure wearing his Talit and Tefillin and holding a copy of the Zohar.

Zeitlin’s literary output spans a range of genres. His earliest works were philosophical monographs on the nature of good and evil (1899), Spinoza (1900), and Nietzsche (1905). In the period between 1906 and 1909, Zeitlin wrote several lyrical works expressing spiritual themes, the beauty of nature, and the longings of the soul (Shekhina, Yofi Shel Ma’ala, and Ha’Tzimaon). As he furthered his return to traditional observance, he published in 1910 an introductory essay to Ḥasidic thought (HaHasidut L’shitoteha V’zerameha) which is incomparable in its scope and beauty. Other noteworthy essays include B’Ḥevyon Ha’Neshama, a response to William James’s Varieties of Religious Experience published in 1913, and Al Gvul Shnei Olamot, a mystical dream journal and diary from 1917, published in 1919. Throughout this time he continued to write on a nearly daily basis about contemporary news, events, and other controversies. All of his writings share a riotous literary style, as Zeitlin infused heartfelt pathos into every line.

Zeitlin remains an enigma. His son Aaron Zeitlin, himself a prominent writer and poet, wrote on many occasions that he himself did not truly understand who his father was. While Zeitlin appears to have spent the years between 1897 and 1906 in a state of deep pessimism while struggling to cope with his loss of faith, even in that period he produced works of deep religious longing. Furthermore, even after his stated return to the Torah study and the traditional observance of his youth, Zeitlin continued to study and cite in his writings many non-Jewish sources. Thus we find Zeitlin writing in 1903 about his prayers alongside the trees of the forest, while throughout the later years of his life he continued to reference the New Testament and Zoroastrian myth, amongst other unorthodox sources, in the midst of otherwise deeply religious and traditional prose. The difficulty in ascertaining the ambiguous orthodox character of his writings is further hampered by the censorship of Aaron Zeitlin, who excised many heterodox statements from the writings of his father which he prepared for republication after the Holocaust. Zeitlin’s divided nature is reflected in his tumultuous relationships with the Orthodox establishment, who viewed him with suspicion, and the secular literary elite, who increasingly ignored him as his writing adopted a more religious tone.
Largely forgotten after the Holocaust, Zeitlin has begun to attract renewed attention from scholars. Zeitlin was such a broad individual that his life and work are relevant to those studying the history of Zionism, Hebrew literature, Philosophy, Mysticism, and the Holocaust, amongst other fields. In particular, Jonatan Meir, Asael Abelman, and Arthur Green have written extensively about Zeitlin and his work. Green's English translation of a selection of Zeitlin's religious essays is an excellent introduction for those wishing to explore Zeitlin's writings for themselves. Unfortunately, access to his Hebrew writings is more difficult. Two volumes of collected essays (B'Pardes Ha'Hasidut Ve'Ha'Kabbalah and Al Gvul Shnei Olamot) edited (and lightly censored) by Aaron Zeitlin appeared in the 1960s, however they are currently out of print. A third volume of essays, Safran Shel Yehidim, was published in 1979 and is similarly out of print. The vast majority of Zeitlin's writings are only available in their original publications, limiting their availability to scholars. Many essays have been scanned and uploaded to the internet by Rabbi Oz Bluman, available on his blog.

[1] Kabbalistic terminology referring to the immanent presence of God within the physical world.
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“THE GREAT CALL OF THE HOUR”: HILLEL ZEITLIN’S YIDDISH WRITINGS ON YAVNEH

Arthur Green and Ariel Evan Mayse

Abstract: Hillel Zeitlin (1871-1942) was the leading figure of what may be called “philosophical neo-Hasidism” among Eastern European Jews in the pre-Holocaust era. A tireless author, journalist, and polemicist, he published constantly in both the Yiddish and Hebrew presses, offering a bold new vision of contemporary spiritual life grounded in his reading of Hasidic sources. But Zeitlin sought to become an activist as well as a literary figure. He was especially concerned with the situation of the rootless Jewish youth. Throughout his career as a public figure, beginning shortly after World War I, he issued calls for a new organization of Jewish life. In a series of articles published in the 1920s, he sought to form an elite Jewish spiritual fraternity to be called Yavneh, which was the most fully elaborated of his attempts at intentional community. The present study collects together Zeitlin’s Yiddish writings on the Yavneh fellowship, describing its ambition and scope within the context of interwar Jewish and Yiddish culture. These writings, re-printed and translated into English for the first time, can be read in their entirety in Yiddish and English here. Alongside his articles and essays published in the Yiddish press, we also present a newly discovered manuscript signed by Zeitlin, a single-sheet four-sided text in which he describes more succinctly and clearly the nature of the group and its intended function.

Hillel Zeitlin (1871-1942) was the leading figure of what may be called “philosophical neo-Hasidism” among Eastern European Jews in the pre-Holocaust era.¹

In this, his position was parallel to that of Martin Buber, but addressing the Hebrew and Yiddish-reading public. Like Buber, Zeitlin tended toward a certain romanticization of Hasidism, in the spirit of the age during which they both wrote. For this they were both criticized and dismissed by Scholem and his school, who were among the first critical scholars of Jewish mysticism and Hasidism. But also like Buber, Zeitlin had profound insight into the religious heart of Hasidism that has come to be appreciated again in more recent times.

By the term “philosophical neo-Hasidism,” we mean to distinguish the work of these two thinkers from that of the many re-tellers of Hasidic tales in the early twentieth century, and also from those who affected a re-appreciation of Hasidism as a way of defining their own literary or artistic creations. Buber and Zeitlin were both well schooled in the Western philosophical tradition. Although Zeitlin, the scion of a Chabad family who had rebelled as a youth, was an autodidact, he clearly had read very widely, especially in the thought of his own era. In the first decade of the twentieth century, he saw his task as that of bringing philosophical enlightenment to the reader of Hebrew literature. His first two significant published works were on Spinoza (1900) and Nietzsche (1905). He was also influenced by Schopenhauer, Tolstoy, Lev Shestov, readings in Buddhism, and various other contemporary philosophical-theological currents. All of these studies fed directly into his way of understanding Hasidism and his decision to re-appropriate its religious language as his own.

Around the time of the First World War, Zeitlin, a member of the largely secularized circle of Y. H. Brenner, Uri Gnessin, and others, all of them more-or-less followers of M. Y. Berdyczewski, made the very unusual decision for those times to re-embrace a life of religious observance. Until his death on the road to Treblinka in 1942, he lived at the center of Warsaw’s teeming intellectual and highly partisan political life dressed in a Hasidic caftan—a mystical/prophetic figure choosing to operate within


an almost entirely secular milieu. As we shall see presently, he disdained the orthodox movements of his day almost as fully as he disdained every other party. A tireless author, journalist, and polemicist, he published constantly in both the Yiddish and Hebrew presses, taking on enemies from all sides.

Already in the 1920s, Zeitlin became obsessed by a growing sense of an impending (but undefined) catastrophe about to befall Polish Jewry. This was not difficult to imagine, given the terrible pogroms that had befallen the Ukrainian Jewish communities in the period between 1918 and 1921 (some of them visited upon their victims by the newly-formed Polish army). The forceful presence of antisemitism in Polish political life was also becoming apparent. Large numbers of Jewish refugees, fleeing the Galician and eastern Polish provinces devastated by the war as well as by the pogroms, flocked into Warsaw and other cities. These refugees included large numbers of young people who were just deciding, as they left their shtetl homes, whether to leave behind their traditional Jewish way of life as well.

In response to these volatile circumstances, Zeitlin sought to become an activist as well as a literary figure. He was especially concerned with the situation of the rootless Jewish youth. Throughout his career as a public figure, beginning shortly after World War I, he issued calls for a new organization of Jewish life, under any number of banners and addressed to varying aspects, concerns, and segments of the Jewish community. Each manifesto or call-to-action urged for transcending party loyalties, expressed concern for the entire Jewish people and its fate, and demanded a combination of political and economic reforms coupled with a call for spiritual renewal. These ranged from a project entitled Ḥadut Yisra’el, which was a vision for unifying and re-charging the entire Jewish people, to another, Beney heikhala, a group so elite in its religious education that he sought to address it in Zoharic Aramaic! In 1936 he called the group Mosh’im or “saviors” of Israel. Even as the war was about to break out in 1939, Zeitlin assembled a group of ten mekhavenim, or people of intense prayer, to join him in devotionally withstanding the great destruction that he knew was about to come. More will be said about this incident below.

---

5 See Elchonon Zeitlin’s memoir, published posthumously as In a literarisher shtub (Buenos Aires, 1946). Zeitlin’s son Elkhonon died in the Warsaw ghetto in early 1942. His grave lies at the very entrance to Warsaw’s huge Jewish cemetery, indicating that he was probably among the last to be buried there during the ghetto era.

6 For a fascinating reflection of the different reasons for his writing in Hebrew and Yiddish, see Zeitlin’s “A bisl klorkeyt un pashtes in der shpraken-frage,” Der Moment 292, 19 December 1924, 4.

7 The bibliography of Zeitlin’s publications by A. D. Malachi, published in Ha-tekufah 32-33 (1948), 848-75 and 34-35 and (1950), 843-48, is admittedly quite incomplete, especially regarding the “thousands and thousands” of his Yiddish articles, published in Der moment as well as various other Yiddish periodicals in Poland, New York, and elsewhere. Malachi makes note of having recently received from Aaron Zeitlin another bibliography of the articles in Der moment and Haynt, compiled by Y. Zeid, based on the Hebrew University Library holdings. Unpublished bibliographies like this one may be found in YIVO’s archive, but the most exhaustive contemporary resource for locating Zeitlin’s many and varied newspaper articles is the Abraham Icchok Lerner Index to Yiddish Periodicals, compiled by the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Beth Shalom Aleichem, and the Jewish National and University Library (http://yiddish-periodicals.huji.ac.il/).

Of special interest to us here is his 1923 call for the formation of an elite Jewish spiritual fraternity to be called Yavneh, the most fully elaborated of these attempts at intentional community.\(^9\) He first announced it a series of stirring articles entitled “The Call of the Hour” in the pages of the Warsaw Yiddish daily Der moment, where Zeitlin had a weekly column. (He had been among the newspaper’s founders.)\(^10\) These articles were followed up by two further essays in which he began to suggest more concrete steps for the formation of this would-be movement for the spiritual regeneration of Judaism and world Jewry. The fact that this series of articles was published in a vastly popular Yiddish daily suggests that Zeitlin was hoping to address a rather broad readership. All of these pieces are re-printed and translated into English for the first time in the links below.

Zeitlin longed for a rarified and spiritually regenerated Judaism, one based on his idealized vision of early Hasidism and tied also to an image which appears in the Zohar—the circle around Rabbi Shimon bar Yohai. (In those years, Zeitlin was beginning to translate the core text of Kabbalah from Aramaic into Hebrew.)\(^11\) But his romantic vision of a glorified neo-Hasidic community was one that very much belonged to Poland of the 1920s. The values of socialism, including supporting oneself by the dignity of one’s own labor and disdain for commerce as a form of exploitation, are very much part of the rules he composed for the community he sought to create. This idealistic religious community was to serve as a beacon for alienated Jewish youth, presenting Judaism to them as a highly moral and profoundly spiritual way of life. This stood in sharp contrast to the petty and divisive squabbling, as well as to the questionable ethical standards, that he saw in the existing Orthodox and Hasidic communities of his day.\(^12\)

Zeitlin’s proposal for a Jewish spiritual revival in 1922 should be understood in historical context. The Jewish population of Poland, particularly to the south and east (in eastern Galicia and Volhyn), was caught between opposing armies during World War I, and further battered by the bitter Polish-Ukrainian and Polish-Soviet wars in the

\(^9\) This call for a neo-Hasidic mystical fellowship further distinguishes Zeitlin from Buber. The latter, writing for a broader audience, was interested in the universal wisdom of Hasidism and how it could be absorbed by his readers. Buber was a Zionist thinker, interested in the revival of the Jewish spirit, even the Jewish people, and saw the ideals of Hasidism as representing the best values of that nation. But he was far from a Yavneh project, which meant creating a new Hasidic movement, in the more specific sense.

\(^10\) The Yiddish paper Der moment was published daily from May 1910–September 1939, and edited by Noah Pryłucki (1882–1941) and his father Tsevi Pryłucki. The vastly popular Der moment was associated with the Folkist party, and it argued for Jewish cultural, political, and linguistic autonomy in the Diaspora, though it also welcomed the work of Zionist writers. See Mendel Moses, “Der moment,” in Fun noentn over: monografjes un memuarn (New York: CYCO, 1956), II: 239–99; and Nathan Cohen’s entry in The YIVO Encyclopedia of Jews in Eastern Europe, available here: http://www.yivoencyclopedia.org/article.aspx/Moment_Der.


\(^12\) Zeitlin, an active a sharp-tongued polemicist, was bitterly attacked by the leadership of Agudat Yisra’el, the party that dominated Orthodox Jewish life in central Poland and was represented in the Polish parliament by leading followers of the rebbe of Ger (Gora Kalwarja), the chief Hasidic group in the Warsaw region. For one of Zeitlin’s many responses to his Orthodox critics, see his “Mayn apikorses,” Der moment 149, 27 July 1924, 4.
immediate postwar years (1919-1921). Significant antisemitic acts, including large-scale murder, were committed by both Polish and Ukrainian forces. Large numbers of Jews fled the region, continuing the ongoing process of urbanization. Warsaw in particular saw a great influx of refugees, including a large youthful population.\(^3\) Many of these were unemployed and unattached. Coming from traditional shtetlekh, some were also on the edge of deciding whether to abandon their religious way of life. At the same time, it was becoming clear by 1922 that the loudly-touted Minorities Treaty accepted by newly independent Poland would not amount to much, and that Jews both culturally and economically were very much on their own. Poverty and despair were widespread on the Jewish street. But in these same years of increasingly dire economic and political situations, Jewish society was still being dramatically transformed by the new intellectual currents of the first half of the twentieth century: nationalist and territorialist movements, linguistic ideologies espousing the renaissance of Yiddish and Hebrew literatures, secular and religious forms of Zionism, and the mass politicization of the ultra-Orthodox bloc. These various movements, and especially their robust and energetic youth cultures, formed a crucial part the historical backdrop of Zeitlin’s project of spiritual renewal.\(^4\)

Zeitlins’ vision of Yavneh was also the subject of a privately published pamphlet called Di teyve (טיווא) that appeared in 1924.\(^5\) Di teyve announces itself as published by the “religious-ethical circle Yavneh of the Ahavat Re’im Society,” a group otherwise unidentified. This makes it sound as though Yavneh actually came into being for some brief amount of time following Zeitlin’s call, although it is possible that the title-page pronouncement reflects Zeitlin’s wish more than reality.

Di teyve opens with a small number of evocative Yiddish poems, which reveal Zeitlin’s deep longing to draw near to the Divine, as well as his increasing frustration with the suffering of the Jewish people and God’s seeming indifference to their plight.\(^6\) One of these, a prayer entitled “Our Wish,” is accompanied by a note telling the reader

\(^3\) See Glenn Dynner and François Guesnet, eds., Warsaw: The Jewish Metropolis: Essays in Honor of the 75th Birthday of Professor Antony Polonsky (Leiden: Brill, 2015).


\(^5\) The title of this pamphlet is based on wordplay commonly found in Hasidic literature. In Biblical Hebrew teyvah is the word for “ark,” but in rabbinic Hebrew it can also mean “word.” Early Hasidic texts often reinterpret Gen. 6:16, “Make a light source for the ark,” and Gen. 7:1, “Enter into the ark, you and all your household,” to mean that one must illuminate and enter into the words of prayer with one’s entire being; see, for example the commentary on Noah in Degel maḥaneh Efrayim (Benei Brak: Otsar arakhey ha-ḥasidut, 2013), 18-19; in Or Torah (Brooklyn: Kehot, 2011), 25-26; Or ha-me’ir (Jerusalem 2000), vol. 1, be-shalih, p. 128; and Kedushat levi, ed. Michael Darbarmediger (Monsey 1995), vol. 2, perushei aggadot, 614. Zeitlin sees this new embrace of the word, and perhaps the entire project of Yavneh, as an ark in which to escape or transcend the flood that he saw overcoming Polish Jewry.

\(^6\) In 1931 Zeitlin published a collection of his poems in Yiddish entitled Gezangen tsum eyn-sof (Songs to the Boundless One), which included both original material and translations of works that had already appeared in Hebrew in the journal Ha-tekufah.
that it was “was recited in a small circle in Warsaw on the new moon of Shevat in 5684 [January 7, 1924].” In Di tegve, Zeitlin also published the above-mentioned rules, a list of fourteen “commandments for every true follower of Yavneh” (a fifteenth was added in a 1928 version found in Sifran shel yeḥidim). These admonitions are preceded by an “interview” that Zeitlin did with himself called “What Does Yavneh Want . . . ?,” which describes the new society as a renewed and more universalized version of the Ba’al Shem Tov’s spiritual path.

Alongside these we present a newly discovered manuscript signed by Zeitlin: a single-sheet four-sided signed text in which he describes more succinctly (brevity was not one of his virtues) and clearly the nature of the group and its intended function. In it he announces that some tens of Polish Jews have already signed on to the group and are living by its rule. He also refers to a forthcoming prophetic-mystical work of his that will serve as a guide to the group’s members. This is likely Sifran shel yeḥidim, published in 1928.

The existence of an active Yavneh group in Warsaw is also attested to by a letter Zeitlin wrote to Nehemiah Aminoach in Jerusalem in the summer of 1925. Aminoach was one of the founders of the Poel Mizrachi movement, the religious version of Labor Zionism. Aminoach and Zeitlin had met during Zeitlin’s single visit to the Land of Israel earlier that year, on the occasion of the opening of the Hebrew University. Zeitlin writes:

Now there is something I want to say to you. I think a small “Yavneh” group should be established in Jerusalem, a society of working people who will live in accordance with the fourteen principles that I set forth in my Yiddish composition Di tegve. Such a group already exists here in Warsaw, but I think that Jerusalem (or the Land of Israel altogether) is its true place. Members of Yavneh may belong to any political party, so long as they recognize the holiness of Israel and the exaltedness of true Jewish religious life. They should come together to fulfill in life those fourteen principles I set forth in Di tegve. For people like you, living by the work of your hands and filled with religious feeling and holy fire, it will be easy to live by those rules. [You should] join together for support, to defend these principles, and to distribute them among all the working people of the Holy Land. I am sending you a special package of thirteen copies (since thirteen is the numerical equivalent of ehad) of Di tegve and ten copies of the seventh issue of Mayn vort (because it contains a letter to the members of Yavneh and there you will see their spiritual side). Along with these will be a few other booklets that I have published recently, including Ha-basidut (in Yiddish), Hillel Zeitlin’s biletlehkh (I am missing the first issue), and Der sne. And what do I want of you? Please distribute

---

17 A Hebrew translation of Di tegve by Natan Hofshi was published in Israel in 1962.
18 The manuscript, of unknown provenance, was advertised and sold by Asufa auction in 2014 and is currently owned by Arthur Green. A facsimile of it is reproduced in the links below.
19 We have been unable to locate any other record or extant copy of Mayn vort #7. A letter to members of Yavneh was printed in number 4, and is reproduced below. The numeral 7 is most likely an error, either by Zeitlin or the printer.
Di teyve and Mayn vort among your friends and try to establish a Yavneh society. [Members of] this group should take upon themselves to strive to live in the spirit of those ideas and principles outlined in Di teyve, and to meet each week (no less) to study together and discuss matters of true religion (here we study mainly the Tanya by the Rav of Liadi, the Kuzari, the works of the MaHaRaL, and similar things) and life in the spirit of Yavneh.20

Here we learn uniquely of the existence of Yavneh as a group that met regularly for study in Warsaw. The record of its curriculum is also most revealing, giving us a glimpse into Zeitlin’s own selection of Jewish religious classics.21

We do not know how long that group continued to function or what problems it encountered. Four years later, when Zeitlin published Sifran shel yehidim, he confessed that his prior efforts had failed, and that he was now attempting to revive them:

The Yavneh or Beney heikhala [“children of the palace”] groups that I suggest founding in this book are not to be confused with the Aḥdot Yisrael [“unity of Israel”] of which I have spoken frequently in the press. Aḥdot Yisrael is meant to absorb all within it, since it is of Jewry as a whole. The Yavneh or Beney heikhala groups (I call the elite within the elite Beney heikhala), if they are founded, will be societies of unique individuals dedicated to inward elevation and a quest for solutions to the ills of the nation and the world.

A small attempt was made in this matter in 1923-24, but that attempt did not succeed. A few pure and upright young people responded to my call in the press, but not people of clear consciousness and deep inner awareness.

What did not succeed in the years 1923-24 may succeed now.22

These accounts present some difficulty in the dating of our newly-found manuscript. If the attempt of 1923-24 had already failed, who are the tens of Jews living in accordance with the Yavneh principles? If the manuscript was published as early as 1924-25, reflecting the same period as the letter to Aminoach, what is the “mystical/prophetic work” that is about to appear? Might Sifran shel yehidim have been ready by then, but the publication delayed by several years?

There is no indication that the second call for Yavneh was any more successful than the first.23 In the 1930s Zeitlin became ever more absorbed both in his Hebrew

20 Zeitlin to Nehemiah Aminoach, summer 1925, in Sefer Zeitlin, ed. I. Wolfsberg and Ts. Harkavy (Jerusalem: Mosad ha-rav Kook, 1944), 129.
21 See also the YIVO autobiography from the 1930s that mentions participation in one of the Zeitlin groups of the 1920s: Rose Waldman, “A Hasid Turns Modern: A YIVO Autobiography, Conclusion,” In geveb (January 2016), http://ingeveb.org/blog/a-hasid-turns-modern-a-vivo-autobiography-5.
22 Hillel Zeitlin, Sifran shel yehidim (Jerusalem: Mossad ha-Rav Kook, 1979), 5-6.
23 Isaac Bashevis Singer attributed Zeitlin’s failure to Zeitlin’s desire to draw young Jews back to the house of study, a world that they had rejected so totally, that his call for an illuminated and renewed approach to Jewish learning went unheeded; see Isaac Bashevis Singer, trans. Robert Wolf, “Concerning Yiddish Literature in Poland (1943),” Prooftexts 15.2 (1995): 114.
translation of the Zohar and the prophetic call to repentance in the eye of the gathering storm. We no longer hear of Yavneh. One is left with the impression that the lack of response to his call was disappointing to Zeitlin, who struggled throughout his life with periods of depression and disillusionment. The failure of Yavneh left him more isolated than ever. It is also likely that aspects of his own personality, including his donning of the mantle of the prophet of doom, did not encourage others to come to his side.

In his Demamah ve-kol, published in 1936, Zeitlin asks himself:

“Where are the Bonim, Beney Yavneh, Beney heikhala, Beney ha-raz, and all the various yetidim (‘special individuals’) of yours?
“The wind has blown them away; the stormy times have scattered them . . . but wherever they are, they are better than others.
“And for whom do you wait and hope today?
“For those whom I would like to call ‘Ve-alu moshi’im.’
“And who will they be?”24

There follows a long paragraph giving yet another description of Zeitlin's imagined vanguard: people freed from all doctrinaire views, dedicating themselves wholly to the Jewish people, holy fire burning in their hearts, forming a holy society to liberate the people, while “on their lips are whispered prayers that will carry them on the wings of great hope toward the messianic days that are approaching.” They are to devote themselves to the ten-point program described earlier in that work, including six suggestions for the physical salvation of Jewry and four devoted to its spiritual restoration.25 Given the increasing desperation of the times (rabid anti-Semitism was becoming a dominant political force in Poland as well as across the German border), there is more emphasis on the political program, especially organization toward emigration, than was present in the 1920s documents.

Zeitlin’s call for an elite and intimate religious brotherhood places him in a long tradition within the history of Jewish mysticism. His “rules” immediately invoke association with those of the circle around R. Moshe Cordovero and R. Isaac Luria in sixteenth-century Safed,26 with the Ahavat Shalom circle (the original Bet El) around R. Shalom Shar’abi in eighteenth-century Jerusalem,27 and with groups that crystallized

---

24 Reprinted in the expanded Sifran shel yeḥidim, 123.
25 Ibid., 84-92.
around such figures as RaMHaL in Padua²⁸ and R. Nahman in Bratslav.²⁹ All of these, in turn, reflect the fantasy circle of devoted disciples surrounding Rabbi Shimon bar Yoḥai in the pages of the Zohar, and perhaps, through the mask of that fiction, the real circle of the Zohar writers in late thirteenth-century Spain.³⁰ Although rabbinic Judaism defined itself as a religion for married householders, rejecting the monastic option that had existed in Qumran, a thread of quasi-monasticism runs through all of these circles, as it is present in such diverse groups in time and place as the Hasidey Ashkenaz of the medieval Rhineland, the Mussarniks of nineteenth century Novarodok in Lithuania, and the Hasidim of Reb Arele Roth in Hungary and Jerusalem in the most recent century.

Zeitlin’s quest to establish Yavneh was not the only such effort in Poland during these turbulent years. In the 1920s R. Kalonymous Kalman Shapira of Piasecne, a traditional but highly creative Hasidic leader, wrote a pamphlet entitled Beney mahshavah tovah.³¹ In this short work R. Shapira outlined the basic principles and structures of a close-knit mystical fellowship. He calls this group the ḥevraya, in obvious reference to the circle of students around Rabbi Shimon bar Yoḥai in the Zohar. This fellowship was meant to be a part of his larger project of spiritual renewal for Hasidism, which Shapira felt had lost much of its original vitality by the early twentieth century. The text of Beney mahshavah tovah was distributed to a select group of R. Shapira’s disciples and was not intended for public circulation.³² The fellowship was meant to be an elite group, though Shapira writes that entrance must be granted to anyone regardless of their profession, provided that the person is an honest and committed seeker.³³

³² R. Shapira himself recommends that the ḥevraya must be a hidden society that is not publicized, lest it engender negative feelings or its members become haughty and prideful; see Beney mahshavah tovah, 57-58.
³³ Ibid., 10.
Beney mahshavah tovah is filled with devotional advice on how the members of the group should cultivate a rich inner world of prayer and study. R. Shapira offers a number of contemplative and visionary exercises, embodied practices that are intended lead the seeker to a higher level of mystical attainment and intensify his religious life. The objective of this group, Shapira writes, is as follows:

Our utmost desire is to become a child [of God, not just a servant], as in “You are children of Y-H-W-H your God” (Deut. 14:1). In our divine service, through study, prayer, and the rest of the commandments, [we long] to feel the closeness of Y-H-W-H, like the child who rejoices in greeting his parent after many years of separation . . . 34

The goal of our holy fellowship is for you to be transformed into a person of spirit (ish ha-ruah) and [contemplative] thought—not ordinary thought, but pure and intense thought (mahshavah tehorah ve-bazakah). Overcome your [ordinary] senses and a new, holy sense will be revealed within you. As you recite, “Blessed art Thou, Y-H-W-H, our God and Ruler of the world,” you will see the “Thou” and the “Ruler of the world.” Your eyes will open wide of their own accord, seeing the Ruler of the world who surrounds the entire cosmos, and yourself. You will penetrate and gaze upon the world that separates it from the Thou, the Ruler that surrounds it. Your eyes will become stronger, and you will see Y-H-W-H filling all the worlds. The One, O Thou who is the Ruler of the world, will be before you, and you will melt in delight.35

Beney mahshavah tovah also includes fourteen “points of instruction and rules” (seder hadrakahah u-khelalim). It is in these specific admonitions that the distinctions between his fellowship and Zeitlin’s project become increasingly visible.36 Shapira’s rule was clearly written for a different audience. It addresses individuals who were living within the Hasidic community and longed to develop a more profound inner life. His more traditional “points” refer to certain books that the seeker should study and how these works should be approached, describe an impassioned experience of prayer, and promote a type of mindfulness and attentiveness to all of one’s actions. Shapira does not warn as explicitly against political involvement, but elsewhere he says explicitly that the goal of the group is to rise up above ordinary human society and that it would not be a place of meting out honors, nor would it have a defined hierarchy.37 Zeitlin’s Yavneh and its implicit critique of society, on the other hand, were addressed to a broader audience of Warsaw Jews, those alienated from the Hasidic community but still open to tradition. It was in the highly politicized atmosphere of secular Jewish Warsaw that he felt the need to insist that Yavneh rise above party loyalties. His identification with, even glorification of, the working class is striking. He preached against the spiritual malaise

34 Ibid., 7.
35 Ibid. 32.
36 Ibid., 48-54.
37 Ibid., 8.
of empty materialism and assimilation, extolling the virtues of a life unencumbered by luxury and unsullied by the exploitation of other workers.

We cannot be sure if Zeitlin and R. Shapira knew one another, but it is hardly imaginable that they did not meet. They were both very well-known public figures and lived not far from one another, and both were eventually imprisoned in the Warsaw Ghetto. Zeitlin was certainly aware of R. Shapira’s teachings, and he published an admiring review of the latter’s only published work, *Hovat ha-talmidim.* We do not know whether Zeitlin might have read *Bney mafshavah touah,* given its extremely limited distribution, but the possibility cannot be ruled out. It also seems likely that R. Shapira was aware of Zeitlin’s writings about *Yavneh,* especially those that were widely available in the Yiddish press. The fact that both offered lists of fourteen points certainly suggests that whichever published later (most likely R. Shapira) was aware of the other’s works.

The memory of Zeitlin’s dream of a renewed Hasidic community was mostly buried in the ashes of the Warsaw ghetto, along with his translation of the Zohar and so much else. It would be unfair to say, however, that his efforts bore no later fruit. Zeitlin’s *Di teyve,* including especially his “monastic” rule, greatly impressed the young Zalman Schachter, then still a Chabad Hasid, when Shmuel Bergman introduced him to Natan Hofshi during a Jerusalem visit, probably in the late 1950s. Schachter’s original design for *Bnai Or* as a Jewish quasi-monastic community, although mostly shaped by his contacts with Christian monastics and named for the newly discovered Qumran document, was very much influenced by his reading of Zeitlin. That vision in turn influenced the creation of Havurat Shalom in Boston, where Schachter was a visiting member in its crucial founding year (1968/69); this community served as the model for the ensuing Havurah movement. Thus it is fair to say that a spark of Zeitlin’s fire is present in both the Havurah and Jewish Renewal movements, two great attempts at

---

38 This essay, first published in 1934, was reprinted in the posthumous expanded version of *Sifran shel yehidim,* 240-44.

39 Zalman Schachter, “Toward an Order of Bnai Or,” *Judaism* 13.2 (1964): 185-97. Schachter discussed this influence in personal conversation with AG. While the ascetic, pietistic community of the Essenes have long been known through the writings of Josephus, this fascinating connection between Jewish Renewal—and the rebirth of Jewish spirituality in the second half of the twentieth century—and the Qumran ruins and Dead Sea Scrolls is deserving of further research.

40 The present author (AG), was a founding member of Havurat Shalom.

the spiritual regeneration of North American Judaism in the late twentieth and early twenty-first century.42

The purpose of the present study is to bring together and present in one place all the key documents relating to the dream and the execution of Zeitlin’s Yavneh community, both in the Yiddish or Hebrew original and in English translation.43 These include the following:

5. “To All Those Who Want to Build ‘Yavneh’ (part 2),” Der moment 14.224, October 1 1923, p. 3.
8. Manuscript [date unknown].

We conclude our introduction to these texts about Zeitlin’s vision for Yavneh with Symcha Bunem Urbach’s heartbreakingly testify testimony of a mystical gathering that took place near the end of Zeitlin’s life. The explicit goal of this assembly was neither spiritual uplift nor personal transformation. On the eve of the Second World War, Zeitlin called together a group to pray, in an effort to stave off the impending destruction of European Jewry, a disaster that he saw approaching with rapid footsteps.

43 The authors wish to thank Ri Turner and Jordan Schuster for their work in producing an excellent first draft for the translations of “The Great Call of the Hour” and “To All Those Who Want to Build ‘Yavneh’.” Earlier translations of the passages from Di teyve appeared in Green, Hasidic Spirituality for a New Era, 37-49.
This happened two months “before the calamity,” two months before the outbreak of the War. As one of his disciples who frequented his house, I was called to Zeitlin’s abode for a special gathering. On the invitation slip was inscribed: “This relates to the very existence of the people of Israel” . . . Very much surprised by these words, I came to his home. There I beheld a sight that was quite extraordinary, even for me. My surprise was to increase manyfold.

Zeitlin was sitting at a table, and there was a group of ten people around him. They included the religious writer and legendary figure, Israel Stern, well-known Kabbalists from Warsaw, and a few Bratslaver Hasidim. In a hushed voice both deep and warm, suffused with quiet pathos, in the tone of a seer of visions and looking like a man who is “not present,” Zeitlin said to us: “My beloveds, I behold a great catastrophe before me. It is growing nearer and creeping to the gates of the state of Poland. The Nazi enemy is approaching, and it will, heaven forefend, totally destroy the Jewish community on the banks of the Vistula. It will move from city to city, from town to town, from community to community, and it will slaughter us all. It will tear off the heads of elders, smash the skulls of children, and destroy us all, leaving behind no survivors or remnants.

A heavy silence reigned following his words. Suddenly Stern arose, shaken and pierced, and asked with passion, “What must we do to avert this evil?”

“I see no other way,” said Zeitlin, “except to pray, to pray, and to pray once more!”

He had assembled us, he added, to establish a fellowship of ten people, mekhavenim (“people of intense prayer”), who would unite with a single heart and fall before the blessed One in prayer, prayer that would break through the heavens, prayer that would open the closed gates . . . (He gave me these words in the form of an article entitled “Mekhavenim,” which I published in the journal Der nayer ruf”) . . .

Zeitlin then got up and began to read chapters of Psalms with great passion and trembling, rivers of tears flowing from his eyes: “The prayer of a poor person, when he is faint” (Ps. 102:1), “My God, my God, why have you abandoned me” (Ps. 22:2) . . . We sat together astonished and amazed, for Zeitlin’s prayer shook our very heartstrings.

(This whole incident, which I beheld with my own eyes, seemed even to me like an exotic spectacle, and I put it out of my mind. For this I beat my breast and cry out my sin.)

44 Amos 1:1.
45 A poetic way of referring to all of Poland.
This was our final meeting. (For various reasons I did not see him again. He went off to a summer residence, and I left Warsaw at the very beginning of the war and the German conquest). But some two months later I was an eyewitness to the catastrophe that Zeitlin had foreseen with his spirit, that came down upon the heads of Polish Jewry. With my own eyes I saw holy communities go up in flames, Jewish towns transformed into graveyards, the heads of sages torn asunder, the skulls of children split open, and Zeitlin’s call echoed in my ears. It had been a voice of “desolation in the wilderness . . .” During my days of wandering through the destroyed villages and forests of Poland, I was reminded of Zeitlin’s prayer.

“To pray, and to pray once more”—that was the final testament of Reb Hillel Zeitlin, may the memory of the righteous be a blessing. This was the final chord in the melody of his life . . .

In a testimony published after the war, chronicler of the Warsaw Ghetto Hillel Seidman related that Zeitlin called a similar meeting in the Warsaw Ghetto immediately before Rosh Hashanah in the fall of 1941. This gathering, which took place soon after Zeitlin’s wife had been deported and after the death of his son, included many of the same elements. It was preceded by a stirring written invitation, and during the event a group of deeply religious individuals surrounded the wizened Zeitlin, who then delivered a prophetic address that was at once an exhortation to repentance and a prediction of Messiah’s imminent arrival. Seidman notes the tragedy of the gathering in retrospect, standing as it did on the eve of Jewish Warsaw’s destruction. Yet he too recalls the tremendous, even mystical power of Zeitlin’s assembly, noting with particular reverence the force and passion of the old man’s heartrending prayer.

---

46 See Jeremiah 17:6; Psalms 102:18.
49 For more on Zeitlin’s spiritual activity in the Warsaw Ghetto, see Hillel Zeitlin, “Evaluating the Ghetto: Interviews in Warsaw, 1941,” in *A Holocaust Reader*, ed. Lucy S. Dawidowicz (New York: Behrman House, 1976), 218-21; and a letter from the 1942 that was published posthumously in *Bleter far geshikhte* 1.1 (Warsaw: Jewish Historical Institute, 1948), 183.
A VIGNETTE OF RABBI HILLEL ZEITLIN
AND THE HOLOCAUST
(30 years after The Storm)

INTRODUCTION

Only three decades plus have passed since that fatal day of *Erev Rosh Hashonah* 5703 (Friday, September 11, 1942) when Rabbi Hillel Zeitlin was last seen among his people at the Umschlagplatz of Warsaw awaiting deportation to Treblinka. Dressed in the quiet dignity of *tallit* and *tefillin*, he was holding a *Zohar* in his hand on that ominous day. Of the present generation, only a few would recognize that name. Yet for almost a half century or so before the Nazi storm broke on Western civilization, he occupied a dominant literary position both among the Jewish intelligentsia and the Jewish masses, especially of Poland. His original philosophical studies, his pioneering essays on Hasidic Masters and mystical lore, his stirring religious and nature-poems and particularly his polemical journalistic thrusts built a passionate circle of readers and students.

No question that he was a man of intense controversy. Inevitably such a stance does not win popularity awards but serves to arouse either vehement and even rabid opponents, ardent and zealous supporters or both. Gusty winds are as prone to lash as to cool and refresh. Yet the personal disinterestedness of his stance and the depth of his integrity were evident for all to see. There were no favorites who could claim positions of sinecure in being spared a scathing attack when in his consideration it was required. Consequently to the end of his life he retained a stature as a leader of high moral and...
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intellectual quality whose driving pursuit of truth and justice would not be stilled as long as his sensitive antennae still picked up the soft and even silent voices of anguish and despair of the forgotten and oppressed. He could not and would not stand dispassionately apart.

Young people, too, were drawn towards his writings. His concern for them was deep and immediate, motivating him to address several direct appeals to them. Even those who disagreed felt kindred because in his living, restless autobiography of alienation, struggle and return, they could see a vital reflection of their own hunger, their own wave-tossing search for a central, self organizing ideal in a world beset by revolutionary ferment and tumult.

The charisma of contradiction in his own talents and total personality was also magnetic to the generation. On the one hand, he was a loving poet and romantic, a Divine-kissed mystic and ecstatic, a dedicated scholar and pietist—qualities of the cloistered, inner-directed student. On the other hand, he was a rigorous thinker and ideologue, a militant reformer and social realist, actively pursuing through his writings and lecturing the messianic goal of revolutionary though pacific self transformation of both the individual and the community, even the world. Zeitlin's esotericism was no abrogation of reality. On the very contrary, critic and mystic met in dialectical embrace in which inner vision was converted into a solid scaffolding from which life's challenges and intrinsic directions could be perceived with penetrative lucidity. On that dizzying elevation this activist forged the tools he would need to do vigorous battles against the ideas and movements that, in his judgment, threatened the great human and Jewish sanctities of the day.

I

After the Kishinev pogroms of 1903 which rocked and shocked the liberal world and especially the Jewish world, Zeitlin became preoccupied, almost obsessed, with the threat of doom which he beheld for his people in the Exile. For him it loomed unerringly just over the horizon, but, alas, just be-
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yond the arc of visibility. Moreover this sense of total catastrophe of the destruction of human culture, the precious trove of centuries of man's toil and wisdom, continued to build and escalate in the years just preceding the explosion of the holocaust. He strove and wrestled tirelessly to reveal the concealed, to have all mankind share the prescience of his "soul," to have them see with brute realism what his inner eyes beheld. Human nature does not welcome such stark instruction and characteristically the establishment dismissed his manifestoes and proclamations as the jeremiads of the possessed.

Zeitlin was reviled; he was mocked. But not even isolation and stone throwing deterred him. He redoubled the power of his summons to gird for all-out-war against the rallying force of darkness. He had no store of armament to give his people. Moreover the war was in essence cosmic in nature and scope involving powers both heavenly and earthly, both demonic and Divine. The strategy of victory to Zeitlin at this point had to be fundamentally spiritual. He therefore counselled tactics and weaponry drawn from the ever-advanced arsenal of Jewish tradition. With an aura of immediacy gripping him as a "seer," he pleaded for radical contrition and heart-reading return, for prayerfulness that was constant and unceasing, for justice and righteousness—personal and collective—to restore Israel and all men to their Divine image. There was no escape, no other alternative. For everyone, Jew and non-Jew, stood on the brink of total engulfment and annihilation. Still Zeitlin could not cease being a man of compassion. As the prophets of old—Isaiah seemed his favorite model—he tempered the searing quality of his message. With words of pathos and renewable hope, he spoke of the chastisements of Divine love, of the redemptive purposes of messianic travail and of the audible footsteps of the living Messiah just behind.

Regretfully, the simile did not end here. Like the classical prophets, his admonitions were frequently honored, infrequently heeded. The masses adulated him, quoted him, enthusiastically relishing the inspirational purity of his ennobling thoughts. The official leadership, the aggrieved victims of his flagellating words felt outraged and defamed and struck back fiercely and
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relentlessly. Yet neither deigned to take seriously or act upon his admonitions. Which actions or lack of action inflicted the deeper wound, Zeitlin never clearly disclosed.

Despite these repeated setbacks, his fortitude remained unflagging. The task was implacable; there was no turning aside. After the invasion of Poland, when the Blitzkrieg burst like a volcano, spewing the lava of its hate and pogroms against the heart of world Jewry, Zeitlin too was caught in its trap. Providence seemed to have ordained that the last years of his life, like the beginning and the middle, be spent alongside his people, the oppressed and the suffering, their plight and their fate, his as well.

II

Even in the harrowing months that followed, it was Zeitlin's unshakable conviction that ultimate redemption was nigh at hand, that the "Sabbath year of 5702" might bring the end of the long night. Final Solution was not yet a public phrase even with the Nazis and its possibility was unacceptable and unthinkable even among the most alert, thinking Jewish leadership. But in all events Zeitlin's calculations were not grounded primarily in the events of contemporaneous history. But the course of later history did not square with his blueprint either. The hosts of the "other side" continued pressing ahead in an arrogant, irresistible sweep. How the mighty were falling and the noose around the Warsaw Ghetto drawing tighter and tighter. Divine love seemed almost totally eclipsed and Divine wrath firmly on the throne. Everything pointed to Jewish disaster. Despite the critical hour, Warsaw Jewry was exhibiting little of repentance of its ways. In fact it seemed as if Jews there had lost their capacity for spiritual response. In sharp contrast to previous centuries when persecution had stirred and awakened religious penitence and teshuvah, the Nazi blitz seemed to have stunned the people into a spiritual trauma and moral shock.

Zeitlin, with characteristic honesty, did not distort the facts as he saw them. Nor did he lose his love or understanding. Thus he wrote:
Corruption, demoralization, thievery in the ghetto are horrible. The cause is, of course, the extraordinary troubles. All the vices and ugly instincts have been uncovered in their full nakedness. But who knows if four hundred thousand gentiles had been locked into such a ghetto as Warsaw whether the picture would not have been much worse. They probably would have slaughtered each other.

Still, despite the intolerable conditions some of the Jews did not lose their traditional virtues. He continued:

The Jews from the provinces showed themselves to be better people. With them the old Jewish feelings of compassion were not uprooted. These provincial Jews were self-sacrificing, saved something from their own tables and sent food packages to their relatives, acquaintances and even to strangers in Warsaw.

Amidst such general moral decay the quality of outer Jewish living too could not fare much better. With only rare exceptions, it too fell into deplorable decline, as Zeitlin commented:

Yes, it is regrettably a sad fact that religious life in the Ghetto had dropped drastically. The Sabbath is disappearing. In the house where I live, for example, among two hundred and twenty families, there are hardly two or three of them who are Sabbath-observing.

In his judgment, however, this erosion could not be attributed to the legal repression by the Nazis as others may have claimed. To the contrary, he argued, if such were the fact their historic Jewish obstinacy would no doubt have come to the fore and expressed itself in a demonstrative defiance. Because of the absence of such motivation, resistance evaporated. Hillel Zeitlin puts it in his own way:

Had the enemies persecuted religion, prohibited the observance of the Sabbath, outlawed prayer, then resistance would have been strengthened and religiosity grown. But there was no one to forbid it. So indifference towards religion prevails.

At first, the enemy had actually tried to persecute religion, attacking Synagogues and Houses of Study . . . They soon, however, caught on themselves that it was a false strategy. That way, they would only shore up religiosity and simultaneously the spiritual resistance of the Jewish masses. Consequently, they immediately changed their tactics.
III

The insensitivity to eschatological expectation must have been particularly searing for Rabbi Hillel Zeitlin. For years this theme had been central to so much of his thinking, teaching, and writing. The signs of the times were crystal clear, so were the Divine signals. The people must seize the hour and prepare for the messianic days ahead. Hardly any affirmative response came forth. Even the escalation of German bestiality surfaced no deep yearning, let alone any earnest reaching out in action for those Isaianic "end of days." With what frustration must have come the words:

It is tragic as well that there are no messianic dreams in the ghetto, no dreams of redemption. I mean redemption in its higher spiritual sense. They think only in purely materialistic categories. Redemption means a return to a life of ease, of spas, of fleshpots. Perhaps there is a feeling of messianic birth-pangs, but no one wants to draw the appropriate consequences and therefore no one sees to the preparations needed for receiving, for being ready for the higher spiritual messianic redemption.

Such a consciousness and action-program could not by any means be restricted to a conventional or narrow conception of the religious. Life was an organic whole. The response to the Ultimate and to the whole of history, which messianism constituted for Zeitlin, could not help but affect even the pragmatic and the concrete. That was his profound Jewish-religious commitment which was succinctly conveyed in his reply to an inquiry conducted at the beginning of 1942 by the underground research group of the Warsaw Ghetto known under its code-name "Oneg Shabbat" and guided by its heroic and intrepid leader, Dr. Emanuel Ringelblum.

One of the typical questions included in that general survey of ghetto conditions and directed to fifty of the outstanding intellectuals and leading personalities of the community, dealt with speculation on what was yet to be. "What," these thinkers and activists were asked, "do the future prospects of the Jewish people look like, especially of the Jewish masses in Poland?"
Zeitlin's response—one of the few that survived—accented the aforementioned point as follows:

Without a religious resurgence, without a messianic idea, Jews will not be helped. For what reason? The political prospects do not appear to be very happy ones. The Poles will go on saying that Jews did their best business with the Germans, received their autonomy from them and Jew-baiting will prosper further. Naive and ridiculous are also the optimistic Jewish hopes tied in with England. Therefore, I say, our refuge lies only in an internal religious re-awakening which has the potential to manifest wonders. Jerusalem on high, spiritual Jerusalem — no one can steal from us — and with the power of the spirit, we will finally emerge victorious even politically.

In short, there could be no schism for Zeitlin between a religious and a political understanding of the social order. They were as inextricably related as Siamese twins. In fact, when discussing ghetto trust and reliance on England vis a vis Israel, his political acumen touches almost prophetic dimensions.

It is clear to me as twice two equals four that England will once again deceive us, betray us, just as she is doing towards all other small and weak nations and not give us Eretz Yisrael. Costly defeats in the political arena, on the Eretz Yisrael front, are principally a result of England's conviction regarding our spiritual weakness. The English once thought we were actually a hard-necked people, truly ready to sacrifice all for Eretz Yisrael. When they saw our weakness, they lost all respect for us and ceased to reckon with us.

IV

Zeitlin's own personal status, too, especially on the economic front, began to decline abysmally. The Nazi design to reduce the Ghetto to utter impoverishment thereby breaking the will to resist and, simultaneously, eliminating those who in their judgment were "unnuetzige fresser — dispensable consumers," was taking an inexorable toll. Despite the superhuman and ingenious risks of the Ghetto Jews, particularly the child smugglers, starvation became the decimating order of the day. Zeitlin suffered accordingly. Even the dignity and pride of this moral giant could no longer stand up under gnawing pain of
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consuming hunger. Gradually he was forced to plead for help.

This condition was pathetically and heartrendingly reflected
in a private letter first found in the Ringelblum Archives, which
bore the date of 5 Nissan 5702, (March 22, 1942), two months
almost to the day after the “Final Solution” had been officially
adopted at Wannsee by the German bureaucratic machine. The
plea was addressed to “the most honored Herr Pomeranzen-
blum,” to whom he had once before turned for aid.

I once wrote you. In the meantime I received, as you know, some
assistance from Herr Weitz and Brothers Silberstein. I, therefore, did
not burden you any more with my request. Now there isn’t a mem-
ory left of that help, because the inflation rises from day to day to
such an extent that my wife and I do not any longer taste any meat
even on the Shabbat. I haven’t tasted an egg for over three months.
Despite this about one hundred zlotas are consumed each day (for
heating and light alone thirty zlotas are spent daily, ten kilos of wood
at two zlotas a kilo—twenty zlotas; five kilo wood for kindling—six
zlotas, the rest for coal, oil and “carbide.” Electricity no longer
works in my house—nor does the gas. Three loaves of bread a day—
we are three in our family—each loaf ten zlotas a piece—which is
again thirty zlotas and where are the cooked foods and all other
possible expenses). I therefore beg you very much. If you have any
possibility whatsoever to aid me with a certain amount for Pas-
sover—please do so. I cannot indicate how large the amount has to
be; it depends on your financial situation as well as your kindness
and good inclination towards me. I can only tell you one thing, that
Passover is approaching and I don’t have a single “groschen” on
me, not for matzoh and not for potatoes. Since it is very difficult
to know when you are at home, and I do live a long way from you,
I beg you to leave a note for me at your home when I can confer
with you, and how much of my request you can meet.

With greatest respect.
Hillel Zeitlin
Sliska 60

History has not recorded the benefactor’s response nor whether
the Zeitlin family was able to celebrate that Passover appropriately.
The only sequel provided was the scene of the settlement men-
tioned at the outset. From it has come a last legacy, selections of a prayer he wrote during his final moments to his
surviving family, to all of Jewry, to the whole world — our
world!
Hear, O my people Israel! Shout O Jew. Shout to your God, shout to the world—to God who is near and yours, to the world which is afar and estranged.

Lord, I am puny and full of sin, unworthy to offer prayer for your holy people Israel, but I can no longer bear its pain.

Do You not see, how the bearer of Your Torah, the heralds of Your Presence suffer beyond human endurance?

Do You not see how open the abyss of destruction stands before us—the destruction of all things, of all prophesies and all hopes?

We cannot wait any longer!

If not now—then when?

If not now, today, immediately when worlds curl up in travail, when Thy people stands wavering at the brink of despair—when then?

Are whole communities annihilated, torn up from their very roots not enough?

Will the scream of a child not reach You, calling like a wounded baby bird thrown from its warm nest, pursued by wild dogs?

Shout, O Jew! Shout, O Israel!

Rise up, holy Patriarchs in Hebron! Tear at all the heavens, storm the Gates of Mercy! Cast yourselves before the Throne of Glory!

De profundis, from the depths, lower than the nether hell, from the deepest abysses, rise-up, O voice of the murdered holy nation.

Mercy! Mercy!

Shout, O Jew! Listen O World!

Listen, you World!

The blood of the tiny fledgling cast living into the flames will not rest!

Not forgiven will be the sins of all who looked on and were silent.

Listen, you World!

You betrayed the Jewish people, calmly surrendered it to the assassin. So will your transgression burn in the bowels of all traitors of peoples.

"Because of the crime against your brother Jacob, you shall be covered with shame," with eternal shame!

Hear this, O World!

VI

A man of controversy with both God and man, Hillel Zeitlin remained until the very end defiant and courageous. To refuse to compromise with evil or tyranny, that was his victory in Martyrdom and death.